## Comparison between the Efficacy of Herbal and Conventional Dentifrices on Established Gingivitis

## Abdulwahab I. Al-Kholani, BDS, MSc, PhD\*

Restorative Department - College of Dentistry Sana'a University – Sana'a, Republic of Yemen

#### **Education:**

- Ph.D./ Conservative Dentistry, University of Khartoum Faculty of Dentistry, Khartoum, Sudan 1995 1999
- MDSc./ Conservative Dentistry, University of Wales College of Medicine, Cardiff, UK 1992 1994
- Certificate of Practical Medical Statistics, University of Wales College of Medicine Cardiff, UK, 1993
- Five Certificates of Dental Implant, 1993-2006
- Intensive clinical courses in fixed and removable orthodontics
- Post-Graduate Course on Root Canal Retained Restoration, University of Wales College of Medicine Cardiff, UK June 1992
- Post-Graduate Course on Endodontics, University of Wales College of Medicine Cardiff, UK April 1992
- Certificate of Advanced English Course, Cambridge Institute Cambridge, UK 1991
- B.D.S., University of Alexandria Alexandria, Egypt 1984

#### Address:

P.O. Box 7261 Sana'a, Republic of Yemen

#### **Contact Numbers:**

Clinic: +967-1-503894/3 Mobile: +967-77775025 Fax: +967-1-503892

E-mail: kholani22@yahoo.com

# Comparison between the efficacy of herbal and conventional dentifrices on established gingivitis

## Abdulwahab I. Al-Kholani, BDS, MSc, PhD\*

Restorative Department - College of Dentistry Sana'a University – Sana'a, Republic of Yemen

#### Abstract

**Aim:** To evaluate the effectiveness of antimicrobial dentifrices with herbal extracts for the improvement of oral hygiene and the reduction of gingival inflammation in patients with gingivitis in comparison to conventional toothpaste with no antimicrobial action.

. **Methods:** 48 adult patients (aged 20-40 years old) with chronic marginal gingivitis were randomly divided into three groups. Test group 1 and 2 received herbal extract dentifrices. Test group 3 just used a conventional dentifrice. Values of the Patient Hygiene Performance Index (PHP), Approximal Plaque Index (API), Gingival Index (GI), and Sulcular Bleeding Index (SBI) were assessed at baseline and after 14, 28 and 42 days. Subjects were asked to brush their teeth with the allocated dentifrice, three times a day, for 42 days. **Results:** Oral hygiene (tooth brushing with dentifrices for 42 days) led to a significant decrease in plaque accumulation on smooth (by 61.2% and 57.2 in herbal test groups 1 and 2, respectively) and approximal (by 57.4 and 52.8% in herbal test groups 1 and 2, respectively) tooth surfaces, reduction of gingival inflammation (by 68.0 and 70.6% in herbal test groups 1 and 2, respectively) and gingival sulcus bleeding (by 79.5 and 81.2% in herbal test groups 1 and 2, respectively). Final indices values in both herbal test groups (T1 and T2) were significantly (*P*<0.001) lower compared to those at baseline and those after 42 days in the conventional group (T3). **Conclusion:** Continuous application of herbal tooth paste provided significant improvement of oral hygiene level and periodontal condition in patients with gingivitis.

## Introduction

The considerable prevalence of gingival inflammation within the general population suggests that most patients practice inadequate oral hygiene, particularly within certain regions of their mouths. in certain At present, the prevalence of periodontal diseases in Yemen is rather high and has a tendency to grow<sup>3</sup>. Bacteria in dental plaque are one of the main factors causing periodontal inflammation<sup>4</sup>; therefore, careful plaque control is very important. However, mechanical plaque removal is inadequately performed by most members of the

areas of their teeth. Elimination of microbial dental plaque biofilm prevents gingivitis, periodontitis and dental cavities<sup>1</sup>. Although, brushing teeth twice a day and daily flossing is highly effective in plaque reduction, over 50% of adults have gingivitis on an average of 3 to 4 teeth<sup>2</sup>.

population. The need for additional help in controlling bacterial plaque provides the rationale for patients to use antimicrobial dentifrices in addition to their mechanical oral hygiene regimens<sup>5</sup>. A number of controlled clinical trials have demonstrated that tooth brushing with herbal dentifrices reduces supragingival plaque and gingivitis<sup>6</sup>.

Interest in natural-based toothpastes has increased recently. Of the various herbal dental products considered, Paradontax (GlaxoSmithKline, Middlesex, United Kingdon), has received great attention. It is composed of sodium bicarbonate, sodium fluoride (1,400 ppm) and herbal ingredients including camomile, echinacea, sage, myrrh, rhatany and peppermint oil. Each individual component is reputed to have a variety of medicinal properties. Camomile has anti inflammatory properties, and it is supposed to decrease gingival inflammation<sup>7</sup>. Echinacea is claimed to stimulate the immune response and to activate leukocytes. Sage is reputed to decrease tissue bleeding and myrrh is a natural anti-septic<sup>8</sup>. The medicinal properties of peppermint oil are: analgesic, anti-septic, anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial, among others9.

Few controlled trials have been found in the literature concerning the efficiency of this herbal toothpaste. Some studies reported that Paradontax was able to produce a significant decrease in plaque and gingivitis, as compared to a control dentifrice 10,11, while others found no significant advantage over a standard toothpaste 9,12.

#### Aim

The main purpose of this study has been to evaluate the effectiveness of antimicrobial dentifrices of herbal (Parodontax® and Silca) toothpastes for the improvement of oral hygiene and the reduction of gingival inflammation in patients with gingivitis as a whole, in comparison to commercial fluoride toothpaste with no antimicrobial action.

## Methods

Forty eight patients who met the inclusion criteria and who attended the dental clinic were examined during ten days by one examiner (A.A). Volunteers for this study were recruited in the Dental Clinic at the Dr Kholani privet dental center in Sana'a (Yemen). The inclusion criteria were: age from 20-40 years of age, poor oral hygiene status,

and signs of gingival inflammation corresponding with chronic marginal gingivitis. The criteria for exclusion were advanced periodontal inflammation, presence of fixed orthodontic appliances, taking antibiotics or anti-inflammatory medicines less than one month before the study began, pregnant females and nursing mothers.

#### Study design

Patients were randomly allocated into three groups (Table 1) that were similar in age, gender, oral hygiene and periodontal status. After baseline examination, all participants were instructed about the use of the tooth pastes and given oral hygiene instruction. All received professional tooth cleaning.

#### Methods of oral examination

Oral examination was performed at the beginning of the study (baseline), and after 14, 28, and 42 days. The following variables were assessed:

- Oral hygiene level using the Patient Hygiene Performance Index (PHP) (Podshadley & Haley 1968)<sup>13</sup>.
- Dental plaque on approximal tooth surfaces using the Approximal Plaque Index (API) (Lange & Plagmann 1977)<sup>14</sup>.
- Gingival inflammation degree using the Gingival Index (GI) (Löe & Silness 1963)<sup>15</sup>.
- Gingival sulcus bleeding using the Sulcular Bleeding Index (SBI) (Muhleman 1968) <sup>16</sup>.

## Statistical analysis

Study data were entered into statistical software (Statistica 6.0 for Windows; Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA) and Student's t-test was used to compare the difference between groups. Results were tested for significance at the *P*<0.05 level.

#### Results

## Evaluation of oral hygiene level

The baseline examination showed that the oral hygiene status of all subjects was unsatisfactory according to PHP and API indices criteria. Changes in plaque indices values are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Differences in baseline plaque indices values between herbal test groups and conventional group were not significant (P>0.05) (Table 2). After 14 days, significant reduction of dental plaque on smooth and approximal tooth surfaces compared to baseline was observed in all groups (P<0.001). However, in the conventional group mean values of plaque indices were significantly higher than in the herbal groups. The amount of plaque on approximal tooth surfaces was lower in test group 1 than in test group 2 (P<0.05).

After 28 days, in both herbal groups the mean values of the PHPI and API indices were significantly lower compared to previous examination (P<0.01 and P<0.001 respectively) and to the conventional group (P<0.001). At the same time, differences between herbal groups were non-significant (P>0.05).

At the final follow-up visit, significant reduction of dental plaque compared with previous data was observed in test group 1 (P<0.01). Thus, after 42 days of herbal dentifrices use, plaque accumulation had decreased on both smooth (by 61.2% and 57.2 % in herbal test groups 1 and 2, respectively) and approximal surfaces (by 57.4 and 52.8% in herbal test groups 1 and 2, respectively). The Parodontax tooth paste was more effective than the one in test 2 but the difference was not significant (P>0.05). In the conventional group, where subjects performed oral hygiene care without herbal extracts tooth pastes, reduction of dental plaque on smooth and approximal tooth surfaces was 33.3% and 23.0%, respectively. Mean final values of PHPI and API indices were significantly higher than in herbal test groups (P < 0.001).

#### **Evaluation of periodontal status**

Baseline data revealed that all participants had signs of gingival inflammation: bleeding on probing, hyperaemia and swelling of the gingival margin. Mean values for the GI in both herbal and conventional test groups indicated moderate gingivitis. Changes in GI values are shown in Figure 3 and in the SBI in Figure 4. There were no significant differences between groups in values of the GI and SBI indices at baseline. After 14 days, considerable improvement of periodontal condition was observed in all groups (Table 3). It was characterized by significant decrease in the degree of gingival inflammation and a reduction of gingival sulcus bleeding as compared with baseline data (P<0.001). The most anti inflammatory effect had been achieved in herbal test groups, where mean values of GI and SBI indices were significantly lower than those of the conventional group (P<0.001).

At the next follow-up visit, there were no significant changes in periodontal indices (GI and SBI) values except in herbal test group 2, where a decrease in the GI value (P<0.05) was observed between 28 and 42 days. Differences between herbal test groups were non-significant. After 42 days, the reduction in gingival inflammation (as assessed by GI) was 68.0% in herbal test group 1, 70.6% in herbal test group 2, and 40.5% in the conventional group. Mean values of the SBI decreased by 79.5%, 81.2%, and 42.1%, respectively. Thus both herbal dentifrices had an almost identical anti-inflammatory effect, which was considerably better than in conventional group.

#### Discussion

This is the first study performed to discuss the effectiveness of herbal tooth paste against plaque and gingival inflammation among a group of Yemeni patients. The results of the clinical study demonstrated that both of the tested herbal dentifrices were effective and led to an improvement in oral hygiene and in the periodontal status (as assessed using the GI and SBI) in patients with gingivitis 19.

After 14 days, considerable improvement of periodontal condition was observed in all

groups this reduction may be partially due to the mechanical brushing which to some extent eliminates and controls the microbial plaque.

The use of herbal dentifrices led to a considerable reduction in dental plaque accumulation both on smooth and approximal tooth surfaces. Final values of plaque indices in herbal test groups were significantly lower compared to baseline data (*P*<0.001) and to corresponding values in the conventional group. Using of herbal extracts dentifrices increased the effectiveness of plaque control, especially in difficult interproximal areas. Probably, active ingredients of the herbal extracts dentifrices penetrate the biofilm and prevent plaque accumulation<sup>17</sup>.

Results of other clinical studies confirm the long-term plaque- and gingival bleeding-reduction properties of herbal dentifrices<sup>11, 19</sup>. In this study, parodontax tooth paste was more effective for the reduction of dental plaque than with Silca tooth paste; however, differences were non-significant. This may have been due to the three pillars of Parodontax which include attack, defend and fortify.

Signs of gingival inflammation reduced significantly in both herbal test groups compared to the conventional group.

In this study, there were no significant differences of GI and SBI indices values between herbal test groups after 42 days, indicating equivalence of tested herbal dentifrices in improving gingival health. A similar result has been reported in a recent study<sup>18</sup>.

## Conclusions

Due to the above findings, we conclude that:

- Regular application of herbal extract dentifrices during 42 days provided significant reduction of dental plaque accumulation and some gingival inflammation signs such as gingival bleeding.
- 2. These agents possess bacteriocidal activity against most periodontal pathogens without a negative influence on the normal microflora.

 The antimicrobial herbal extract dentifrices tested in this study can be recommended to adults with gingivitis for plaque control and to reduce gingival inflammation.

#### References

- 1- Lauten, J.D., L. Boyd, M.B. Hanson, D. Lillie, C. Gullion and T.E. Madden, 2005. A clinical study: Melaleuca, Manuka, Calendula and green tea mouth rinse. Phytother. Res., 19: 951-957.
- Oliver, R.C., L.J. Brown and H. Loe, 1998. Periodontal diseases in the United States population. J. Periodontol., 69: 269-278.
- 3- Al-Kholani, I.A. Influence of Khat Chewing on Periodontal Tissues and Oral Hygiene Status among Yemenis. Dent Res J 2010; 7(1): 1-6.
- 4- Axelsson P. Diagnosis and Risk Prediction of Periodontal Diseases. Hanover Park, IL: Quintessence; 2002.
- Barnett ML. The role of therapeutic antimicrobial mouthrinses in clinical practice. Control of supragingival plaque and gingivitis. Journal of the American Dental Association 2003; 134: 699-704.
- 6- Pannuti CM, Mattos JP, Ranoya PN, Jesus AM, Lotufo RFM, Romito GA. Clinical effect of a herbal dentifrice on the control of plaque and gingivitis. A double-blind study. Pesqui Odontol Bras 2003;17(4):314-8.
- 7- de Rysky S. The effects of officinal herbs on inflammation of the gingival margin: a clinical trial with a newly formulated toothpaste. J Clin Dent 1988;1:22-4.
- 8- Schauenberg P, Paris F. Guide to medicinal plants. 3rd ed. London: Lutterworth Press; 1977.
- 9- Mullaly BH, James JA, Coulter WA, Linden GJ. The efficacy of a herbal based toothpaste on the control of plaque and gingivitis. J Clin Periodontol 1995;22:685-9.
- 10- Yankell SL, Emling RC. Two-month evaluation of Paradontax dentifrice. J Clin Dent 1988;1:22-4.

- 11- Yankell SL, Emling R, Perez B. Sixmonth evaluation of Paradontax dentifrice compared to a placebo dentifrice. J Clin Dent 1993;4:26-30.
- 12- Saxer UP, Menghini G. The effect of two toothpastes on plaque and gingival inflammation. J Clin Dent 1995;6:154-6.
- 13- Podshadley AG, Haley P. A method for evaluating oral hygiene performance. Public Health Reports 1968; 83: 259-264.
- 14- Lange DE, Plagmann H-C, Eenboom A, Promesberger A. Klinische Bewertungsverfahren zur Obektiwierung der Mundhygiene [Clinical methods for the objective evaluation of oral hygiene evaluation]. Deutsche Zahnärztliche Zeitschrift 1977; 32: 44-47. [Article in German]
- 15- Loe H, Silness J. Periodontal disease in pregnancy: prevalence and severity. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica 1963; 23: 523-551.
- Muhleman HR, Son S. Gingivitis in Zurich schoolchildren. Helvetica Odontologica Acta 1958; 2: 3-7.
- 17- Teles RP, Teles FRF. Antimicrobial agents used in the control of periodontal biofilms: effective adjuncts to mechanical

- plaque control? Brazilian Oral Research 2009; 23 (Spec Iss. 1): 39-48.
- 18- Radafshar G, et al. A study to assess the plaque inhibitory action of herbal-based toothpaste: A double blind controlled clinical trial Journal of Medicinal Plants Research Vol. 4(12), pp. 1182-1186, 18 June, 2010.
- 19- Makarem A, Khalili N and Asodeh R. Efficacy of Barberry aqueous extracts dental gel on control of plaque and gingivitis. Acta Medica Iranica, Vol. 45, No. 2 (2007).

Table . Distribution of groups according to dentifrices tested for antimicrobial efficacy.

Group	Type of dentifrices	Ingredients						
Test 1	Parodontax	Sodium bicarbonate, sodium fluoride 1,400 ppm, camomile, echinacea, sage, rhatany, myrrh and peppermint oil.						
Test 2	Silca	Natural extracts of camomile, calendula and sage.						
Test 3	Conventional	Sodium Fluoride (0.32%), Sorbitol, Hydrated Silica, water, SLS, Peg-32, Flavor, Cellulose Gum, Sodium Saccharin, Trisodium Phosphate, Vitamin-E-Acetate.						

T1= herbal extract dentifrice (Parodontax)

Table 1. Distribution of subjects according to oral hygiene practice.

Group	Number of subjects	Oral Hygiene Practice
Test 1	16	Tooth brushing for 2 minutes 3 times/day used herbal Parodontax dentifrice (GlaxoSmithKline, Middlesex, United Kingdom) containing sodium bicarbonate, sodium fluoride 1,400 ppm, chamomile, echinacea, sage, rhatany, myrrh and peppermint oil
Test 2	16	Tooth brushing for 2 minutes 3 times/day used Silca tooth paste containing natural extracts of chamomile, calendula and sage
Test 3	16	Tooth brushing for 2 minutes 3 times/day used tooth paste containing Sodium Fluoride (0.32%), Sorbitol, Hydrated Silica, water, SLS, Peg-32, Flavor, Cellulose Gum, Sodium Saccharin, Trisodium Phosphate, Vitamin-E-Acetate.

T1= herbal extract dentifrice (Parodontax)

T2= herbal extract dentifrice (Silca)

T3=conventional dentifrice

T2= herbal extract dentifrice (Silca)

T3=conventional dentifrice

**Table 2.** Comparison of Plaque Indices Values (M±m) between the herbal and conventional groups over 42 days.

(1)*       14 Days       1.63±0.8       1.75±0.09       2.17±0.8       40.7±1.1       44.8±1.2       49.6±1.6         (2)*       P       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       >0.05       <0.001       >0.05       <0.001       >0.05       <0.001       >0.05       <0.001       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01       >0.05       <0.01	Group Baseline (1)*         2.56±0.06         2.57±0.09         2.71±0.12         65.3±2.1         63.5±1.7         61.2±2.2           14 Days (2)*         1.63±0.8         1.75±0.09         2.17±0.8         40.7±1.1         44.8±1.2         49.6±1.6           P (1-2)         <0.001         <0.001         <0.001         <0.001         <0.001           28 Days (3)*         1.31±0.06         1.29±0.08         1.93±0.06         31.5±1.1         31.6±1.3         47.2±1.7           P (2-3)         <0.01         >0.05         <0.001         >0.05           42 Days (3)*         0.96±0.07         1.07±0.06         1.77±0.06         26.1±1.1         28.3±1.2         45.6±1.5           P (3-4)         <0.01         >0.05         <0.01         >0.05           Plaque reduction (%) after 6 weeks         57.2%         33.3%         57.4%         52.8%         23.0%	Group Baseline Baseline (1)*         2.56±0.06         2.57±0.09         2.71±0.12         65.3±2.1         63.5±1.7         61.2±2.3           (1)*         14 Days (2)*         1.63±0.8         1.75±0.09         2.17±0.8         40.7±1.1         44.8±1.2         49.6±1.6           (2)*         P (0.001)         <0.001	Group Baseline Baseline (1)*         2.56±0.06         2.57±0.09         2.71±0.12         65.3±2.1         63.5±1.7         61.2±2.3           (1)*         14 Days (2)*         1.63±0.8         1.75±0.09         2.17±0.8         40.7±1.1         44.8±1.2         49.6±1.6           (2)*         P (0.001)         <0.001	Baseline (1)* 14 Days (2)*  P (1-2) 28 Days (3)*  P (2-3) 42 Days	2.56±0.06 1.63±0.8 <0.001	<b>T 2</b> 2.57±0.09	2.71±0.12 2.17±0.8	65.3±2.1	<b>T 2</b> 63.5±1.7	<b>T 3</b> 61.2±2.2
Baseline	Baseline	Bascline	Bascline (1)*   2.56±0.06   2.57±0.09   2.71±0.12   65.3±2.1   63.5±1.7   61.2±2.1     14 Days (2)*   1.63±0.8   1.75±0.09   2.17±0.8   40.7±1.1   44.8±1.2   49.6±1.6     17	Baseline (1)* 14 Days (2)*  P (1-2) 28 Days (3)*  P (2-3) 42 Days	2.56±0.06 1.63±0.8 <0.001	2.57±0.09	2.71±0.12 2.17±0.8	65.3±2.1	63.5±1.7	
(1)*     14 Days     1.63±0.8     1.75±0.09     2.17±0.8     40.7±1.1     44.8±1.2     49.6±1.6       (2)*     P     <0.001     <0.001     <0.001       P     (1-2)     <0.001     <0.001     <0.001       28 Days     1.31±0.06     1.29±0.08     1.93±0.06     31.5±1.1     31.6±1.3     47.2±1.7       P     <0.01     >0.05     <0.001     >0.05       (2-3)     0.96±0.07     1.07±0.06     1.77±0.06     26.1±1.1     28.3±1.2     45.6±1.5       P     <0.01     >0.05     <0.01     >0.05       (3-4)     Plaque reduction (%) after 6 weeks     57.2%     33.3%     57.4%     52.8%     23.0%	(1)*       14 Days       1.63±0.8       1.75±0.09       2.17±0.8       40.7±1.1       44.8±1.2       49.6±1.6         (2)*       P       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005       <0.001       >0.005	(1)* 14 Days (2)* 1.63±0.8 1.75±0.09 2.17±0.8 40.7±1.1 44.8±1.2 49.6±1.6 (2)*  P <a href="#page-40.001">&lt;0.001</a> (1-2) 28 Days (3)*  P <a href="#page-40.01">&lt;0.01</a> 1.29±0.08 1.93±0.06 31.5±1.1 31.6±1.3 47.2±1.3 31.6±1.3 47.2±1.3 31.6±1.3 47.2±1.3 47.2±1.1 28.3±1.2 45.6±1.3  P <a href="#page-40.01">&lt;0.05</a> (3)*  P <a href="#page-40.01">&lt;0.05</a> 33.3% 57.4% 52.8% 23.0%  *Number of follow up visit	(1)* 14 Days (2)* 1.63±0.8 1.75±0.09 2.17±0.8 40.7±1.1 44.8±1.2 49.6±1.6 (2)*  P <a href="#page-40.001">&lt;0.001</a> (1-2) 28 Days (3)*  P <a href="#page-40.01">&lt;0.01</a> 1.29±0.08 1.93±0.06 31.5±1.1 31.6±1.3 47.2±1.3 31.6±1.3 47.2±1.3 31.6±1.3 47.2±1.3 47.2±1.3 47.2±1.3 42 Days (3)*  P <a href="#page-40.01">&lt;0.05</a> <a href="#page-40.01">&lt;0.05</a> 33.3% 57.4% 52.8% 23.0%  *Number of follow up visit	(1)* 14 Days (2)* P (1-2) 28 Days (3)* P (2-3) 42 Days	1.63±0.8 <0.001	20020 (APP 40 402 A 3 5 0 45 0 0 0 3 7 0 10 0	2.17±0.8	an stronger carboner Crist Phase Scripts 1 a Sec		61.2±2.2
Color   Colo	Color   Colo	C2)*   P   <0.001   <0.001     <0.001	C2)*   P   <0.001   <0.001   <0.001	(2)* P (1-2) 28 Days (3)* P (2-3) 42 Days	<0.001	1.75±0.09		40.7±1.1	440.40	
P (1-2)       <0.001       <0.001         28 Days (3)*       1.31±0.06       1.29±0.08       1.93±0.06       31.5±1.1       31.6±1.3       47.2±1.7         P (3)*       <0.01	P (1-2)       <0.001       <0.001         28 Days (3)*       1.31±0.06       1.29±0.08       1.93±0.06       31.5±1.1       31.6±1.3       47.2±1.7         P (3)*       <0.01	P (1-2)	P (1-2)	P (1-2) 28 Days (3)* P (2-3) 42 Days					44.8±1.2	49.6±1.6
28 Days	28 Days	28 Days	28 Days	28 Days (3)* P (2-3) 42 Days	1.31±0.06		<0.001		<0.001	0
P (2-3)         <0.01         >0.05         <0.001         >0.05           42 Days (3)*         0.96±0.07         1.07±0.06         1.77±0.06         26.1±1.1         28.3±1.2         45.6±1.5           P (3-4)         <0.01	P (2-3)         <0.01         >0.05         <0.001         >0.05           42 Days (3)*         0.96±0.07         1.07±0.06         1.77±0.06         26.1±1.1         28.3±1.2         45.6±1.5           P (3-4)         <0.01	P	P	P (2-3) 42 Days		1.29±0.08	1.93±0.06	31.5±1.1	31.6±1.3	47.2±1.7
42 Days	42 Days	42 Days   0.96±0.07   1.07±0.06   1.77±0.06   26.1±1.1   28.3±1.2   45.6±1.5     P	42 Days   0.96±0.07   1.07±0.06   1.77±0.06   26.1±1.1   28.3±1.2   45.6±1.5     P	42 Days	<0.01		>0.05	<0.001	(0)	>0.05
P (3-4)	P (3-4)	Plaque reduction (%) after 6 weeks * Number of follow up visit \$\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Plaque reduction (%) after 6 weeks * Number of follow up visit \$\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\-/	0.96±0.07	1.07±0.06	1.77±0.06	26.1±1.1	28.3±1.2	45.6±1.5
Plaque reduction (%) after 6 weeks 57.2% 57.2% 33.3% 57.4% 52.8% 23.0%	Plaque reduction (%) after 6 weeks 57.2% 57.2% 33.3% 57.4% 52.8% 23.0%	Plaque reduction (%) after 6 weeks * Number of follow up visit  23.0%	Plaque reduction (%) after 6 weeks * Number of follow up visit  23.0%	P	<0.01	>0.05		<0.01	>0.05	
reduction (%) after 6 weeks 57.2% 57.2% 57.4% 52.8% 23.0%	reduction (%) after 6 weeks 57.2% 57.2% 57.4% 52.8% 23.0%	reduction (%) after 6 weeks * Number of follow up visit 57.2% 33.3% 57.4% 52.8% 23.0%	reduction (%) after 6 weeks * Number of follow up visit 57.2% 33.3% 57.4% 52.8% 23.0%		71					2
	weeks	* Number of follow up visit	* Number of follow up visit	reduction (%)	61.2%	57.2%	33.3%	57.4%	52.8%	23.0%
		* Number of follow up visit	* Number of follow up visit	20						
				Y						

<sup>\*</sup> Number of follow up visit

**Table 3.** Comparison of Periodontal Indices Values (M±m) between the herbal and conventional groups over 42 days.

			Periodental	Indices			
		GI		SBI			
Group	T 1	T 2	Т 3	T 1	T 2	Т3	
Baseline (1)*	1.86±0.11	1.75±0.08	1.87±0.11	45.2±3.2	46.4±2.5	41.1±3.4	
14 Days (2)*	0.82±0.08	0.81±0.06	1.17±0.06	10.4±2.1	11.1±1.5	24.3±2.6	
P (1-2)		<0.001			<0.001	*	
28 Days (3)*	0.71±0.06	0.65±0.04	1.11±0.08	9.7±1.1	9.1±1.1	23.6±2.5	
P (2-3)		>0.05			>0.05		
42 Days (4)*	0.57±0.04	0.49±0.03	1.09±0.9	9. 1±1.2	8.3±1.0	22.8±2.1	
P (3-4)	>0.05	<0.05	>0.05		M		
Reduction of gingival inflammation	68.0%	70.6%	40.5%	79.5%	81.2%	42.1%	
and sulcus bleeding (%)							

<sup>\*</sup> Number of follow up visit




Figure 1. Patient Hygiene Performance Index (PHPI) values changes over 42 days.




Figure 2. Approximal Plaque Index (API) values changes over 42 days.




Figure 3. Gingival Index values changes over 42 days.




Figure 4. Sulcular Bleeding Index values changes over 42 days.

Prof. Modulinal